WO

DATE: <u>June 23, 2015</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.		
Moises	Abel Dominguez-Cuevas	Case Number:	15-9201MJ
was present ar	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 and represented by counsel. I conclude by a the detention of the defendant pending tria	a preponderance of the evidence	
I find by a prop	FIN ponderance of the evidence that:	IDINGS OF FACT	
		and Otatana and law falls, and as ittend for	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	risonment.
The Court at the tin	ourt incorporates by reference the material ne of the hearing in this matter, except as r	findings of the Pretrial Services anoted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions		arance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION	
in a corrections pending appea order of a cour facility shall de	efendant is committed to the custody of the s facility separate, to the extent practicable al. The defendant shall be afforded a reason t of the United States or on request of an a eliver the defendant to the United States Ma	, from persons awaiting or servine onable opportunity for private con attorney for the Government, the parshal for the purpose of an appe	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections
proceeding.		ID THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de py of the motion for review/reconsideration		

ity to d District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

> Eileen S. Willett United States Magistrate Judge